

Mitch/Mark, I've completed my review of this new collection. I couldn't be as thorough as with Saturn, but I got to review all the documentation, labels, links, catalogs, etc.. I think it is a magnificent collection and future users will appreciate the comprehensive and careful approach you've taken. Personally, now I don't have to remember all that stuff anymore, you've documented it all!

Here are a few comments:

- * There are a few misspellings: 'metadata' in PROCESSING.TXT (in the last section, I think), 'discrepancy' in ERRATA.TXT section 6, and 'intrinsic' in the _GEOMED.LBL's. [FIXED]
- * The TUTORIAL and DATASET.CAT indicate that 'ADESPIKE' was used. PROCESSING.TXT says 'DESPIKE'. [FIXED; 'DESPIKE' is correct.]
- * I was impressed by the thorough understanding and handling of the dark current issue. The techniques used to provide the proper dark currents for all conditions were very well thought out and implemented. The description of the complexity of the issue (particularly with Neptune) was well done.
- * The usage of quality control steps was good to see (I don't remember that from Saturn). The method used was good and this gives me confidence that the set has consistent quality and that special cases have been caught/handled.

I know this report is kinda slim, but there was not much to take issue with. Congratulations to the team for a great job!

Charlie